


Top Quark Physics and QCD



BNL Forum 2017, Oct 12, 2017

Olaf Behnke, DESY, *on behalf of ATLAS and CMS*


Top Quark Physics

- Heaviest elementary particle → strong coupling to H
- Timescales $\underbrace{\frac{1}{m_t}}_{\substack{\text{production} \\ 10^{-27} \text{ s}}} < \underbrace{\frac{1}{\Gamma_t}}_{\substack{\text{lifetime} \\ 10^{-25} \text{ s}}} < \underbrace{\frac{1}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}}_{\substack{\text{hadronization} \\ 10^{-24} \text{ s}}} < \underbrace{\frac{m_t}{\Lambda^2}}_{\substack{\text{spin-flip} \\ 10^{-21} \text{ s}}} \rightarrow \text{study bare quarks}$
- Measure SM parameters & search for new physics

Top Quark Pair Production

Cross sections & spectra:
test QCD & BSM,
tt+X production
resonances, new particles


Spin
Correlations
Polarisation
Asymmetries



Mass
Mass Difference,
Width, Charge

W-Helicity Fractions
Branching Ratios,
 V_{tb} , Rare Decays,
FCNC

Electroweak Vacuum Stability



arXiv:1707.08124

Decay channels

$W^+W^- \rightarrow l\nu l\nu$

Dileptonic

$W^+W^- \rightarrow l\nu jj$

l – jets

$W^+W^- \rightarrow jjjj$


All – hadronic

Top Quark Physics


- Heaviest elementary particle → strong coupling to H
- Timescales $\underbrace{\frac{1}{m_t}}_{\substack{\text{production} \\ 10^{-27} \text{ s}}} < \underbrace{\frac{1}{\Gamma_t}}_{\substack{\text{lifetime} \\ 10^{-25} \text{ s}}} < \underbrace{\frac{1}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}}_{\substack{\text{hadronization} \\ 10^{-24} \text{ s}}} < \underbrace{\frac{m_t}{\Lambda^2}}_{\substack{\text{spin-flip} \\ 10^{-21} \text{ s}}} \rightarrow \text{study bare quarks}$
- Measure SM parameters & search for new physics

EWK Single-Top Production

s and t channel, tW, tZ
production,
polarisation, Vtb, FCNC, W-
helicity, mass



Electroweak Vacuum Stability




arXiv:1707.08124

Decay channels

use only
 $W^+ \rightarrow l\nu$

Top Quark Mass

- Most precise: template fits in l+jet channel





$$m_{\text{top}} = 172.08 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.82 \text{ GeV}$$

New CMS result at @13 TeV:

$$m_{\text{top}} = 172.35 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.63 \text{ GeV}$$

CMS-PAS-TOP-17-007

ATLAS-CONF-2017-071




→ Approaching
 < 0.5 GeV precision

- Pole mass from cross sections $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} \rightarrow$ most precise results: $173.2 \pm 1.6 \text{ GeV}$ ATLAS: CONF-2017-044
 $173.8 \pm 1.8 \text{ GeV}$ CMS: JHEP 08 (2016) 029

Inclusive $t\bar{t}$ cross section $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$

LHCtopWG




→ Data described by NNLO theory
→ competing precisions

Inclusive $t\bar{t}$ cross section $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$

LHCtopWG

Inclusive $t\bar{t}$ cross section [pb]



ATLAS+CMS Preliminary
LHCtopWG

Sept 2017

→ Data described by NNLO theory
→ competing precisions

Recent new results (not yet in plot):


ATLAS 8 TeV: $248.3 \pm 0.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 13.4_{\text{syst}} \pm 4.7_{\text{lumi}} \text{ pb}$ (5.7%)
ATLAS-CONF-2017-054

D0: 1.96 TeV: $7.26 \pm 0.13_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.57_{\text{syst}} \text{ pb}$ (7.6%)
arXiv:1605.06168


$t\bar{t}$ differential distributions: $p_T(\text{top})$

[arXiv:1708.00727](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.00727)


$l + \text{jets}$



“resolved”: 3 jets $k_T=0.4$



“boosted”: 1 (top-tagged) jet $k_T=1.0$



→ NLO calculations: too hard $p_T(\text{top})$ spectrum


→ Similar effect seen at 8 TeV, cured with NNLO

Many recent results from ATLAS and CMS:


ATLAS: CONF-2017-044, CONF-2016-100, [arXiv:1612.05220](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05220), [arXiv:1607.07281](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.07281)
CMS: PAS-TOP-17-002, [arXiv:1708.07638](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.07638), [arXiv:1610.04191](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04191), PAS-TOP-16-018, PAS-TOP-16-013

Double differential tt cross sections


EPJC 77 (2017) 459



Leading order



$$x1 = M(tt)/2E_p \cdot \exp(y(tt))$$




- ➔ valuable constraints on gluon density at large x
- ➔ RUN II: Aim to constrain **PDFs**, **α_s** and **$m(\text{top})$** simultaneously


Charge Asymmetry A_C

- LO: No asymmetry expected
- NLO: $q\bar{q}$ diagrams interfere
- Diluted @LHC due to large gg fraction


$q\bar{q}$ tree-level and box diagrams: **positive** asymmetry



ISR/FSR: **negative** asymmetry





➔ Measurements consistent with SM and zero




$$\Delta|y| = |y_t| - |y_{\bar{t}}|$$

$$A_C = \frac{N(\Delta|y| > 0) - N(\Delta|y| < 0)}{N(\Delta|y| > 0) + N(\Delta|y| < 0)}$$




$t\bar{t}W$ and $t\bar{t}Z$

CMS-PAS-TOP-17-005



2ℓ (same-sign)
+ b-jets




3ℓ or 4ℓ
+ b-jets

- EFT approach: $L_{eff} = L_{sm} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_j c_j O_j + \dots$



- Fitted coefficients:

Wilson coefficient	Best fit [TeV ⁻²]	1σ CL [TeV ⁻²]
$ \bar{c}_{uB}/\Lambda^2 $	1.6	[0.0, 2.3]
$ \bar{c}_u/\Lambda^2 + 10.9 \text{ TeV}^{-2} $	11.1	[2.7, 15.6]
\bar{c}_{uW}/Λ^2	1.8	[-2.4, -0.8] and [0.7, 2.4]
\bar{c}_{Hu}/Λ^2	-9.4	[-10.3, -8.1] and [0.1, 2.1]

→ no significant deviations from SM








$t\bar{t}Z$ template fit 3ℓ sample




→ $t\bar{t}Z$ in precision regime

Single-Top Quarks

Picture from upcoming paper (A. Giammanco & R. Schwienhorst),
Theory curves: N. Kidonakis (t, tW, s, @ NLO+NNLL) & J. Andrea (tZ @ NLO)




tZq template fit



➔ Many new results!
➔ SM predictions 😊

QCD at LHC


Parton distribution functions
Representing structure of proton,
extracted using experimental
data and QCD properties



- Focus in the following on **two** new studies with high p_T jets


Triple differential dijets

- measure p_T spectra in bins of y^* and y_b



arXiv:1705.02628

19.7 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV)



→ 122 precise points ~8 orders of magnitude, NLO describes data 😊 ⇒ useful for PDF + α_s fit

Triple differential dijets


[arXiv:1705.02628](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.02628)

- Fit proton PDFs to HERA DIS and 122 CMS dijet points

➔ Highly improved gluon density in x range [0.1-0.7]




- Fit in addition α_s
 $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1199 \pm 0.015 \text{ (exp)}^{+0.0031}_{-0.0020} \text{ (theo)}$

➔ One of the most precise α_s determinations from LHC



Inclusive jets and NLO

ATLAS-CONF-2017-048




■ Data vs NLO $\chi^2/ndf \sim 400/177$
 including all data & theory uncertainties


➔ Tensions between data and theory - also seen for 8 TeV jet data JHEP09 (2017) 020

Inclusive jets and NNLO


ATLAS-CONF-2017-048



■ New: full NNLO calculation available



J. Currie, N. Glover, J. Pires,
PRL 118 (2017), arXiv: 1611.01460,
see also arXiv: 1704.00923




NNLO points not yet including PDF and α_s uncertainties

➡ Indications for NNLO improving perturbative stability towards higher jet p_T

Summary

TOP

- LHC top quark factory \approx 40M top events collected until 2016
 \Rightarrow thorough top quark examination, today glimpses shown:
 - Precision measurements: Mass, cross sections & spectra
 - $t\bar{t} + Z, W$ and $t+W, t+Z$, accessing rare processes
 - SM 😊
- Collect until end of 2018 another 60M top events \Rightarrow more precise & extended measurements + accessing rarer channels (e.g. $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$)



QCD

- Jet data & NNLO turn LHC into a QCD precision lab
 \Rightarrow constrain SM parameters: PDFs, α_s ,
 \Rightarrow also helpful to improve searches



Backup