# Luminosity Measurements at the CMS Experiment.

Towards reduction of the systematic uncertainty



#### Joscha Knolle, Andreas B. Meyer

DPG-Frühjahrstagung in Münster March 27–31, 2017







#### **Measuring Luminosity**









## $\frac{\mathrm{d} \textit{N}}{\mathrm{d} t} = \mathcal{L} \cdot \sigma_{\mathrm{vis}}$

- > luminosity: measure for number of collisions
- > measurement of luminosity:
  - 1. calibrate visible cross section  $\sigma_{vis}$
  - 2. collect event rate over whole year



#### Van der Meer Scan Method



-0.6

-0.2

nominal beam separation

- > assume factorizable beam shape
- > take nominal beam positions



0.6 ∆ [mm]

38.72/16

 $0.1111 \pm 0.0002354$ 

 $-0.00657 \pm 0.0003073$ 

 $0.5356 \pm 0.1543$ 

#### VdM Scan Campaign in 2016



#### **Average Length Scale Corrections**



- measure vertex position as function of nominal position
- > obtain correction:
  - -1.1% horizontally
  - -0.5% vertically
  - $\pm 0.8\%$  uncertainty



#### **Per-Beam Length Scale Corrections**





#### **Beam Shape Modelling**



Triple Gaussian







#### **XY Correlation Corrections**





#### **Conclusions: Uncertainty of Measured Luminosity**

Systematic	2015 (CMS-PAS-LUM-15-001)		2016 (CMS-PAS-LUM-17-001)	
	Correction [%]	Uncertainty [%]	Correction [%]	Uncertainty [%]
INTEGRATION				
Internal stability			-	0.5
Cross detector stability	-	1.0	-	1.5
Linearity			-	0.6
Dynamic inefficiency	-	0.4	0 – 1	0.3
Type 1 corrections	7 – 9	0.6	7 – 12	0.7
Type 2 corrections	0 - 4	0.7	0 – 4	0.5
CMS deadtime	-	0.5	-	0.5
NORMALIZATION				
XY correlations	1.1	1.5	0.8	0.9
Beam current calibration	-	0.3	-	0.3
Ghosts and satellites	-	0.2	-	0.4
Length scale	-0.5	0.5	-1.6	0.8
Orbit drift	-	0.4	-	0.4
Beam-beam deflection	1.8	0.4	1.5	0.4
Dynamic- $eta$	-	0.5	-	0.5
TOTAL		2.3		2.5



### Thank you for your attention.



J. Knolle | DPG Münster | 30 Mar 2017 | Page 10