



# Top-quark topology definition of the boosted regime at 13TeV.

# Daniela Dominguez, Paolo Gunnellini, Hannes Jung Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron

March 2017

DPG

### Motivation

- Measurements of  $\Delta \phi$  azimuthal decorrelation with top jets in the back to back region (sensitive to soft resummation effects)
- Demonstrate the flavour-blindness of QCD (production of bottom and top quarks should be the same at high  $p_T$ )
- $\rightarrow$  First look at jet cross section clustered with large cone size (R = 0.8)



# Goal of our analysis:

- Regime of boosted topologies because of high  $p_{\rm T}^{Top}$
- Considered only hadronic top decays for better  $p_{\rm T}$  resolution
- Overwhelming QCD background to deal with





#### Phenomenological studies to define top boosted topologies

- Anti-k $_T$  fat (R=0.8) jets with  $p_T$  > 400 GeV
- b-hadron with  $p_T > 10$  GeV inside the cone (b-tagging)
- Top generation with  $p_T > 450 GeV$

### $\rightarrow$ CONSIDERED VARIABLES :

 $\Delta R$  between the two subjets jet mass jet masses of the two subjets

### Analysis Strategy: B-tagging inside the fat jet

- $\Delta R$  between the B-Hadrons ( $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$ ) and the fat jets (R = 0.8).
- B-Tagging efficiency (B-Hadron inside the jet with  $\Delta R < 0.4$ )



• QCD: the b-jet is more likely clustered in the fat jet ( $\Delta R \sim 0$ )

• Two b-tagged jets required, but the background still high.

### Analysis Strategy: Particle Level Studies

 $\Delta\phi$  between the top decay products at particle level.



For  $p_t^{top} \sim 450 \,\text{GeV}$  there is still a considerable contribution where the W and the b can't be clustered at the same time inside the fat jet

Which one is more likely to be outside the fat jet?

# Analysis Strategy: B-tagging Efficiency and Cross Sections



# Signal/Background Ratio (Cross Section)

Requiring at least two b-tagged jets in the event.

•  $\sigma_S/\sigma_B = 0.08$ 

<i>p</i> <sup>TOP</sup>	1Btag %	2Btag %	
400GeV	79.8	31.8	
1TeV	98	74	

- Low b-tagging efficiency at  $p_t \sim 450 \, GeV$
- Not possible to discriminate background yet

### Soft Drop Mass Mechanism

Soft Drop Mass algrithm is used to decluster the fat jet in two sub-jets removing soft radiation



70*GeV* < *Mass<sub>SubJet1</sub>* < 90*GeV* 150*GeV* < *Mass<sub>Jet</sub>* < 200*GeV*

### Particle Level Studies

 $p_{tTop} > 450 GeV$  (particles above 10GeV)

Particle Level  $\Delta R$  distribution of the decay products of the W.



 $p_{tTop} > 450 GeV \Rightarrow \Delta R_{FirstSubjet} < 0.5.$ 

There is a big contribution from the region  $\Delta R > 0.5$  in the distribution of the decay products.

# Optimizing Mass Cuts $\Delta R < 0.4$ (BHadron and Fat Jet)

#### Requiring at least two jets satisfying the specific conditions

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{BHadron} \ \Delta R < 0.4 \\ \mathsf{70} \, \textit{GeV} < M_{\textit{subjet0}} < \mathsf{90} \, \textit{GeV} \\ \mathsf{150} \, \textit{GeV} < M_{\textit{Jet}} < \mathsf{200} \, \textit{GeV} \end{array}$ 

- Efficiency 0.9%
- $\sigma_S/\sigma_B = 16.28$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{BHadron} \ \Delta R < 0.4\\ \mathsf{30} \, \textit{GeV} < M_{\textit{subjet0}} < \mathsf{150} \, \textit{GeV}\\ \mathsf{150} \, \textit{GeV} < M_{\textit{Jet}} < \mathsf{200} \, \textit{GeV} \end{array}$ 

• Efficiency 8.4%

• 
$$\sigma_S/\sigma B = 4$$

### BHadron $\Delta R < 0.4$ 30 GeV $< M_{subjet0} < 150$ GeV

• Efficiency 17.1%

• 
$$\sigma_S/\sigma B = 0.62$$

The best option when tagging the bHadron inside the jet mass cuts:  $30 GeV < M_{subjet0} < 90 GeV$  and  $150 GeV < M_{Jet} < 200 GeV$ .

### Requiring at least two jets satisfying the specific conditions



BHadron  $\Delta R < 1.5$   $30 GeV < M_{subjet0} < 150 GeV$   $150 GeV < M_{Jet} < 200 GeV$ • Efficiency 19.6% •  $\sigma_S/\sigma B = 3.05$ 

The best option when tagging the bHadron outside the jet is mass cuts:  $30 GeV < M_{subjet0} < 90 GeV$  and  $150 GeV < M_{Jet} < 200 GeV$ .

### $\Delta \phi$ azimuthal decorrelation (top pair)

The azimuthal angular correlation of the *ttbar* system at high  $p_t$  in the back to back region could be sensitive to soft gluon resummation effects.



- Resolution at Detector Level of 3°
- With a proper definition of the top topology the resolution is not affected.

- Feasibility studies to separate top jets from pure QCD jets (non top).
- A Top definition at hadron level has been defined.
- The angular resolution at detector level appears promising for the measurement of azimuthal angular correlation of the ttbar system.

Thanks for your attention!!!