

Measuring the differential cross section for top quark pair production at 8 TeV



levgen Korol (DESY), for the CMS Collaboration

TOP2015, 14-18 September, Ischia (Italy)

CERN-PH-EP-2015-117 arXiv:1505.04480

The large top quark samples produced at the Run-I of the LHC allow performing measurements of top quark production and properties at unprecedented precision. These measurements are fundamental for testing the quality of the standard model (SM) and for searching for new physical phenomena beyond its scope.

Precise understanding of top quark pair (t\bar{t}) production is crucial for:

- precise tests of QCD in different regions of the phase space
- testing and tuning theory predictions and models with measurements
- revealing presence of new physics
- important background for Higgs and many new physics searches

Measurements of $t\bar{t}$ production cross section as a function of $t\bar{t}$ kinematic observables are presented, using 20 fb⁻¹ of data at 8 TeV :

- Observables: leptons, b-jets, top quarks, and tt system
- Performed in the dilepton and lepton+jets decay channels
- Comparison to perturbative QCD theoretical predictions

(1) Select a pure tt sample

In dilepton (I+jets) channels, require:

At least 2 opposite-sign (exactly 1) e/μ



(2) Kinematic reconstruction of the tt system

Dilepton channel:

Reconstruct neutrino momenta from p_{τ}





- Isolated
- p_τ > 20 (33) GeV, |η| < 2.4 (2.1)
- dilepton QCD veto: $m_{\parallel} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- I+jets: veto other leptons with looser criteria
- At least 2 (4) anti- k_{T} jets with R = 0.5 $p_{T} > 30 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.4$
- In ee and $\mu\mu$ channels:
- veto the Z peak: $|m_z m_{\parallel}| > 15 \text{ GeV}$
- $E_{\tau}^{miss} > 40 \text{ GeV}$
- At least 1 (2) b-tagged jet(s)



tt signal purity: ~80% Main backgrounds: tt Other, single t, Z+jets (dilepton), W+jets (I+jets)



conservation and known m(W) and m(top)

- 4-fold ambiguity \rightarrow take solution with lowest m(tt)
- Reconstruct event 100 times with varied b-jet and lepton 4-momenta

Take lepton-jet combination with largest sum of weights according to true m(lb) distribution

L+jets channel:

- Build permutations of jet-parton assignment (4/5 leading jets, use b-tag information)
- Vary 4-momenta of lepton, jets & neutrino within resolutions (constraints: m(W), m(t) = m(t)) \rightarrow take 4-jet permutation with minimum χ^2
- Trick: first fit with fixed m(t), then use input for second fit with m(t) floating and cut on χ^2 \rightarrow better assignment of b-jets to b-quarks

Reference tt prediction: MadGraph+Pythia6 Data are reasonably well described by simulation The p_{τ} spectra are steeper in data than in simulation

(3) Bin-wise cross section measurement

For each observable X, and in each bin i:

Normalize to the in-situ measured total inclusive cross section σ

N_{data} : observed events in data N_{BG}: background events : inverse of response matrix A^{-1}

used in unfolding : width of bin

: integrated luminosity

- Correct for **detector effects and acceptance: regularized unfolding** (based on Singular) Value Decomposition) using MadGraph+Pythia6 tt simulation
- Top and $t\bar{t}$ observables:
- **Full phase space, parton level**
- top quarks after QCD radiation and before decay
- \rightarrow Facilitates comparison with higher order **QCD** calculations
- Leptons and b-jets:
- **Fiducial phase space, particle level** leptons in dilepton (l+jets): $p_{\tau} > 20$ (33) GeV, $|\eta| < 2.4$ (2.1); jets: $p_{\tau} > 30$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.4$
- \rightarrow Avoids extrapolation into regions not experimentally accesible

General good agreement between data and SM

(4) **Results**

Predictions: MadGraph+Pythia6, MC@NLO+Herwig6, Powheg+Pythia6, Powheg+Herwig6, **NLO+NNLL**, approx NNLO (if available)

Uncertainties: determined per bin, dominated by systematic (JES, tr model); total precision: 3 – 10 %

 $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dp_{\tau}}$

Theory Data

Pohweg+Herwig6 provides good description of data for all measured distributions

CMS, 19.7 fb⁻¹ at ∖s = 8 TeV	
_ e/μ + Jets	Data
-	— MadGraph+Pythia6

The p_{τ} (t) spectrum in data is well described by the approx NNLO calculation

The m(tt) distribution in data tends to be lower than the predictions for large m(tt)

The $p_{\tau}(tt)$ spectrum in data is well described by all predictions, except for the **NLO+NNLL** calculation

The $\eta(b)$ distributions in data are slightly less central than in the predictions, worse described by MagGraph+Pythia6

[GeV⁻

 $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dm}$

Theory Data

